Measurements on a Variable Cavity He-Ne Laser

Daniel Bauen J. E. Hasbun

Department of Physics

This work was given at the UWG 2000 Annual <u>Sigma Xi</u> Student Paper Research presentations

Project Purpose:

Using a variable cavity Helium-Neon laser and mirrors of various sizes, we are examining the lasing properties at different distances between the mirrors, as well as different mirror combinations. The experimental results are compared to the expected theoretical results in order to gain a better understanding of stable cavity characteristics, and any abnormalities.



Helium-Neon Laser Tube

The first step in the process involved the installation of the track, and the mounting of the laser and the mirror





Once the mirror was adjusted to produce maximum lasing, the peak output was recorded, and the mirror was moved 1 mm down the track, and readjusted. The externally mounted mirror of radius R2 was then aligned so as to induce lasing. A Plexiglas box was sub sequentially created in order to protect the fragile Helium Laser tube.

80 cm	from R1	Output						
mirror	(mm)	(mW)	(mm)	(mW)	(mm)	(mW)	(mm)	(mW)
	290	2.96	561	2.76	599	1.2	679	0
R1=60cm	295	2.96	562	2.74	600	1.1	805	0
R2=80cm	310	2.97	563	2.7	601	1	806	0.02
	320	2.96	564	2.68	602	0.81	807	0.11
	330	2.97	565	2.65	603	0.65	808	0.45
	340	2.97	566	2.62	604	0.52	809	0.64
	350	2.95	567	2.6	605	0.43	810	0.73
	360	2.93	568	2.58	606	0.31	811	0.83
	370	2.91	569	2.52	607	0.26	812	0.89
	380	2.89	570	2.52	608	0.19	813	0.95
	390	2.88	571	2.51	609	0.19	814	1.03
	400	2.87	572	2.46	610	0.18	815	1.13
	420	2.89	573	2.45	611	0.19	816	1.2
	440	2.89	574	2.43	612	0.19	817	1.3
	460	2.87	575	2.41	613	0.19	818	1.38
	470	2.9	576	2.39	614	0.19	819	1.43
	475	2.91	577	2.4	615	0.19	820	1.53
	480	2.92	578	2.4	616	0.19	821	1.6
	490	2.96	579	2.4	617	0.19	822	1.67
	500	2.98	580	2.39	618	0.19	823	1.74
	505	2.99	581	2.38	619	0.19	824	1.8
	510	3.01	582	2.37	620	0.19	825	1.86
	515	3.03	583	2.34	640	0.18	826	1.9
	520	3.03	584	2.31	647	0.17	827	1.91
	525	3.01	585	2.27	650	0.17	828	1.98
	530	2.97	586	2.24	655	0.17	829	2.03
	535	2.97	587	2.21	657	0.16	830	2.05
	540	2.93	588	2.15	660	0.16	831	2.08
	545	2.92	589	2.1	665	0.14	832	2.1
	550	2.91	590	2.07	670	0.11	833	2.14
	553	2.9	591	1.97	671	0.1	834	2.21
	554	2.88	592	1.89	672	0.08	835	2.3
	555	2.87	593	1.8	673	0.07	837	2.44
	556	2.86	594	1.7	674	0.06	838	2.45
	557	2.85	595	1.64	675	0.05	839	2.34
	558	2.83	596	1.58	676	0.05	845	2.4
	559	2.81	597	1.4	677	0.03	850	2.6
	560	2.78	598	1.3	678	0.01	855	2.7
							860	2.72
							870	2.8
							880	2.76
							890	2.8
							900	2.8

Distance Power

Distance Power

Distance Power

Distance Power

Hundreds of Max power output data points were collected. Each point had to be fine tuned, therefore each mirror took many hours of adjustment to collect all the data points.

These data points are for the 80 cm radius mirror.

Stable Cavity Theory:

The lasing output measurements of each mirror gave an experimental value of the cavity lengths at which the cavity is stable, and the cavity is unstable.

In a *stable* cavity the ray position stays close to the optical axis, even after it has passed between the two mirrors several times. A stable-cavity environment is essential for the lasing to occur.

If the cavity is *unstable*, then the ray will naturally walk off the mirrors, and out of the cavity.

A cavity in which both mirrors must be perfectly aligned to prevent the beam from walking out is *conditionally stable*.



The stability of a laser cavity can be calculated mathematically by a simple set of equations:

$$0 \le g_1 g_2 \le 1$$

is referred to as the stability range, because this is the exact condition required for two mirrors with radius R_1 and R_2 and spacing L to form a stable cavity for emitting rays. Every two mirror optical resonator can be characterized by the parameters:



One Brewster HeNe Laser Tube Mounted in Test Fixture



- Set #1 concludes that: $L \le (R1 + R2)$
- Set #2 concludes that: $(L \ge R1)$ and $(L \ge R2)$
- Concluding from the first and second set: Either:

 $R1, R2 \leq L \leq (R1 + R2)$ or $L \leq (R1 + R2)$ and (R1 and R2)



Common Laser Resonator Configurations



(LR,LH,CC typical; H,LH,CC with r1/r2 swapped not shown)

Laser Resonator Stability Diagram



Common Laser Resonator Configurations

Calculated lasing values compared to Experimental values of a helium neon laser with mirrors R1 = 60 cm and R2 = 30 cm



L (Length of Lasing Cavity, distance between R1 and R2 in cm)

Calculated lasing values compared to Experimental values of a helium neon laser with mirrors R1 = 60 cm and R2 = 45 cm



L (Length of Lasing Cavity, distance between R1 and R2 in cm)

Calculated lasing values compared to Experimental values of a helium neon laser with mirrors R1 = 60 cm and R2 = 60 cm



L (Length of Lasing Cavity, distance between R1 and R2 in cm)

Calculated lasing values compared to Experimental values of a helium neon laser with mirrors R1 = 60 cm and R2 = 80 cm



L (Length of Lasing Cavity, distance between R1 and R2 in cm)

Calculated lasing values compared to Experimental values of a helium neon laser with mirrors R1 = 60 cm and R2 = 120 cm



L (Length of Lasing Cavity, distance between R1 and R2 in cm)

Calculated lasing values compared to Experimental values of a helium neon laser with mirrors R1 = 60 cm and R2 = Infinite cm



L (Length of Lasing Cavity, distance between R1 and R2 in cm)

Results:

We constructed a variable cavity laser to study the stable cavity characteristics. By performing this experiment, we tested the validity of the stability equations, and proved that they are correct. The results collected from the experiment closely matched the results predicted by the calculations derived from the stability equations.

Future Implications:

This project expands my knowledge on the characteristics of lasers, which could be shared with optical engineering companies like Lucent-Fitel.

















N

2

LASER RADIATION AVOID DIRECT EYE EXPOSURE

HELIUM-NEON LASER 5 mW MAX OUTPUT at 632.8 nm CLASS IIIa LASER PRODUCT